Appeal No 159 of 94-95: (9)

Appellant-
Krishnappa

Respondent-
Ranjanna

Appeal regarding the Shanbhogi of Singapura and other villages in the Krishna-rajapur Taluk

List of papers.

(1) Appeal petition
(2) Copy of Dy Commr's order
(3) Krishnappa's petition No 2420.
(4) Genealogical Table
(5) Dy Commr's docket-
(6) Official Memorandum
(7) Judge's Notes &c
(8) Govt Procs No 590-60 of 16. 9. 85 (Containing the Potagi Rules).

---

No doubt, the rule referred to by the Dy Commr contemplates the appointment of an additional Shanbogue when a Shanbogue

charge is difficult when more than one circle. But ~~it~~ when the officiating Shanbogue has a son who can well take up the additional charge it is not right to pass him over especially when the father has worked well in charge of all the villages for several years - In modification of the order it is hereby directed that the infant son Venkata Ramana be appointed ~~also~~ for the additional Circle of Sirigavara. & Narayana do continue to act as his guardian during his minority.

25-2-95

M.H.

1894-95
(L R)

File No: 108 of 94
Serial No: 116/14

Sub:- Appeal of Krishnappa regarding the Shanbhogship of Singpura and other villages. Krishnarajpet Taluk

Pr: No 3724 2

virtually an appn for modification of the Govt order, passed by the 2nd & 3rd Councillors.

Subd for orders.

5/6 Ruled by 2nd & 3rd Cllrs

8/6/96

Mr Mr. Dyson

What about Narayanis ward shd leading on the expediency of having main & the minimum

9/6/96

10/6/96

10/6 for [illegible]

14/6/96

gp. Fr. 21 of 95

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.* 1894-95 ~~192~~ ( L. R. )

*Later references.*

File No. 108 of 94.

Serial Nos 1 to 14.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

---

*Serial Nos.* 1 to 14

M ~~Part~~ B for June 1895

---

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Nadarajiah regarding the Shanbhogiship of Singapura and other villages, Nadarajiah of Taluk.

---

Endorsed

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

3 Petition No. 3724, d 16-5-96.

(Office Notes) Serial No. L.R. 108-94-9.

Vide order No. 3668 d 11.8.96.
P.P. 21.95

Petr — asks that before making over charge of the Singapura Pitha to Ranjanna guaranteed by his minor son under the said order of 1st Dept. Ranjanna may be required to give a deposition stating that he would so transact the duties as in any way not to jeopardise the interests of the minor Karavaddar. He also asks that Ranjanna may be required to pay Rs 2 per mensem for the support of the minor.

The Sub Division Officer to whom petitioner made application on his behalf stated that no order could be given contrary to the terms of the 1st Dept order. Petitioner then made the same representation to the Deputy Commissioner but that officer rejected the petition as being an appeal presented out of time. He has now made the same representation to Government direct verbally —

Continued

Mysore Dy C/o Ino 1761
Date: 5/5/97

5

206.30 Pm.
7/6/97.

The defendant wants
if the Letter has been
recorded -

Has further agent
whereas 2 Ltrs
is perhaps made
to obtain a tangible
& recurrent recognition
of the minor's right
as Nanjamma has
also the pretensions
of a claimant -
But the record
right is complete
& no more tangible
evidence of the
right is required
than the record
Evidently. The
Solicitor for says
that it is methodical
to make any
alle. I think
so. Say so myself
the Letter

9/6/97

Draft work

17/6/97

6

(2)

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189 5

No. 2419

Name of Petitioner. Shaikh Ibrahim Mohamad Ali Chinnathambi Marakayar

Village. Kilakarai

Taluk. Ramnad

District. Madura

Date of Appl. 8-3-95

Date of Receipt. 22-3-95

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commr. Rejecting the Petitioner's claim dt. 20-12-94 on the following grounds:—

I That the Deputy Commr erred in interpreting Govt Proceedings 950-60 316-Septr 1885 so as to deprive the appellant of his hak:

II That the object of Govt regarding the Khatiks arrangement at the time of Poligar settlement is to meet public convenience without affecting the hak:

III That the Dy Commr erred in appointing

Submitted to Ld Collector
for Order
Ag Asst

Copy of the Petition
& connected papers sent
to the Tahsildar of Kilakarai
for favour of report
& return
27/3/95

Tahsildar's report received
& returned
27/3/95

Petitioner & opponents called
for enquiry on 1/4/95

Petitioner & opponents called
for enquiry on 8/4/95

S.R. 108-94-1

Respondent without
appellants consent.

IV That the Deputy Comm
has also erred in appointing
Respondent on probation
without any reference to
the rank of appellant

V That the nomination
of a competent gunnerta
should not with appellant

Pray that the order appealed
against may be set aside

The order of the Dy Comm
is in Enclosed

10

Pet 1680 ✓ 28/5/95 AS. 159 of 94-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

---

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition
d 2420
Registered No. 2419 dated 8-3-95
received at the Dewan's Office from Krishnappa (by Mr D Venkata ramaiah advocate), Sindaghatta Krishnaraya Pett Taluk appealing against the Mysore Dr/Commr's order in Rev. Mis. No 15 of 94-95 regarding the Shanbogi ~~work~~ of Singapura & other villages

L.R. 108-94-3

one
~~is~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ one enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case.

~~2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.~~

2 The Deputy Commr is requested to hold the order in abeyance pending the decision of the appeal
3 The Petn & enc. should be returned

By order,

Draft

27/5

Under Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

27/5/1895

27/5

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Gen. Serial No. 327

189

No. 2420

Name of Petitioner. [illegible]

Village.

Taluk. Bangalore

District.

Date of Arzi. 8 — 3 — 95

Date of Receipt. 22 — 3 — 95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Prays that pending disposal of his appeal the order of the Deputy Commr. Bangalore held in abeyance for the following reasons.

I That there would be no difficulty in performing the Thanadari duties in the same way as they have been hitherto

II That the order of the Dy Comm - will of course bring a person with interests adverse to those of petitioner

III That the person nominated would, if appointed before the appeal is decided, [illegible]

about faction

IV That the execution of
Dr Nummi's order would
inconvenience appellant & put him
to subsequent loss.

168

11

1895. No. 18066

OFFICE OF THE
26 JUN. 1895
DEWAN OF MYSORE

*From*

*The Deputy Commissioner,*
*Mysore District.*

Petn
Appl
2/6/

*To*

*The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.*

*Dated* 22nd June 1895.

*Despatched*

*Received*

L.R. 108-94-4

**Enclosures No.** 2 Pcls.

**No.** 1478

Submits the proceedings connected with the appeal of Krishnappa of Suidaghatta in the Krishnarajpet Talk.

Petn
Appl
26/6/95

To be posted for hearing by Board & called for by the Commissioner — Office notified
Intimated
BR 27/6 noted
Posted to 24th July
Notice & issue
BR 1/7

without any preference to the merit of the Appellant.

V. That the nomination of a Competent gumasta, the Appellant submits, will have to be left to the Appellant

For these reasons the Appellant prays that the order of the Dy Comr may be set aside.

Bangalore
8th March 95

D Venkatramaiya
Coor: for Appellant

No. 475

Enclosures No. 27 & 12

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore June 22nd 1895.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

SIR,

As requested in your official Memorandum No. Petition 1650 dated 27th May 1895, I beg to submit herewith the entire proceedings connected with the appeal of Krishnappa Lingatta in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, regarding the Shanbhogi of Singapura & other villages.—

2. The petition and its enclosures received with the Memorandum under reply are also herewith transmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedt Servt

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compt.
Hly.

MM

Presented by
Mr Nagaraja Iyengar
Clerk
22/3/95

13

(1)



No. 2419

Certified to be a true copy of the Government of Mysore Bangalore.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 2419
DATE 22/3/95

Revenue Appeal No. of 1894-95

No. 157 of 1894-95

Appellant

Krishnappa

Respondent

Channarah.

The Appellant above named respectfully begs to appeal against the Order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District in Rev: Suit No 57/94-95 on the following grounds:—

I. That the Dy Com^r erred in misinterpreting para 29 of Government Proceedings No. 930-60 G dated 10th September 1885 so as to deprive the appellant of his kuck.

II That the Govt have held, repeatedly that the Pharka arrangement at the time of the Potgi Settlement is intended to meet public convenience without affecting the kuck:

III That the Dy Com^r erred in appointing the respondent without the consent of the appellant.

IV That the Dy Com^r further erred in appointing the Respondent on probation without

(3)

Recd 11A 22/3/95 No 2420 17




MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFIC
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 2420 R
DATE 22/3/95

The Government ~~Two rupees Stamp Paper~~
Bangalore

Rev. Appeal no of 1894-9

A.S. 159 of 94-9 Appellant ~~&~~ Respondent
Krishnappa . Nanjama

Pending the disposal of the appeal petition presented this day, the Appellant prays that the order of the Dy Comr may be held in abeyance for the following reasons:——

I. That there is at present no difficulty experienced in the discharge of the Shanbogue duties inasmuch as they would be performed in the same manner in which they were performed till now.

II That the Order of the Dy Comr if executed will bring a person to the office whose interest and interest of the appellant are adverse.

III That the person nominated by the Deputy Comr will bring about a faction before the appeal



15

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸ್ ೧೮೯ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರ್.

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಮು॥ ರಾ॥ ದೇ. ವೆಂಕಟರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ.

ಈ ನಂಬರು ಇವರನ್ನು ವಕಾಲತ್ತುನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮೆಮ್ಮೆರಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈನಲ್ ಹೊಂದಿಕೆಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗ್ಗಿಸಿ ಪಂಕ್ತಿನ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪಿನ ಸಹಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ವಸೂಲಿಪಡಿಸಿ ಮೆಮ್ಮೆರಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕು ಸಹಾ ನನಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ನಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ಸೋದರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನೇ ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ೨೦ ನೇ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ಸ್ ೧೮೯೨ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ.

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ for

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಿಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನ್ನ ಸಹಿಷ್ಣನು ಇವರಿಗೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದಾನೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

# Genealogical Table.




91

(17)

90

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
6600
24 JUL. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

To,

The Revenue Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

L.OR. 108-946 Dated 23rd July 1895

No A

Submitting extract
from Register of
Potaji Settlement
of the Krishnaraja
pet taluk, as called
for

so decided if he is at once appointed.

IV. That the Appellant would be put to much inconvenience and substantial loss if the order of the Lord Chancr is not at once given effect to.

Benjamine
8th March 95

Wm Blatchford
Counsel for Appt

19

L. A. Sec. 15/8/95

The Deputy Commr.
Bijapur District

No Pet. 80 dt 12-7-95

L-R. 108-94.5

His letter No 1478, dated 22nd June 95 submitting the proceedings connected with the appeal of Krishnappa of Sindagatta in the Krishnarajapet Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honour to request an extract from the Potaji Settlement Register of the Krishnarajapet relating to the Sindagatta Halli marginally noted which Taluk may be forwarded to this office, before the 24th instant, on the appeal of Krishnappa is posted to that date for hearing.

1. Madenhalli
2. Channalapura
3. Nagaratnahalli
4. Rangasamudra
5. Hirahalli
6. Kantanhalli
7. Singapura
8. Varasandapura

By Order
12-7-95
Revenue Sheristadar

Draft (as per verbal order) Dist 3rd Commissioner

11/7 14/7

*From*

*The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.*

*To*

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

*General No.*

*No.*

*Enclosure No.*

No A 21 (8)

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

As required in your
docket No Pet 80 dated the 12th
instant I have the honor
to submit herewith an
extract from the Potagi
Register of the Krishnarajpet taluk relating to the
Shanbhoguni of Mailana
halli and the other villages
therein referred to.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant
Shanabhog
for Deputy Amildar
Mysore District

Office of the
Deputy Amildar
Mysore District.
Dated 23rd July 1895

| 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ۱۷ | ۱۸ | ۱۹ | ۲۰ | ۲۱ | ۲۲ | ۲۳ | ۲۴ | ۲۵ | ۲۶ |
| ۲۷ | ۲۸ | ۲۹ | ۳۰ | ۳۱ | ۳۲ | ۳۳ | ۳۴ | ۳۵ | ۳۶ |
| ۳۷ | ۳۸ | ۳۹ | ۴۰ | ۴۱ | ۴۲ | ۴۳ | ۴۴ | ۴۵ | ۴۶ |
|

(11)

1895-96

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated* 1*st August* 1895

No. 1533 / Pet. F. 21-95

Directing the registry of Venkataramnaiya, the infant son of the appellant Krishnappa, Baravardar Shanbhog of Mailanhalli Firka, Krishnarajpete Taluk, as Shanbhog of Singapur Firka newly formed at the Potigi settlement, and the performance of the duties by the respondent Nanjanna as Gumasta.

M.G.C.P.P.—17-8-95—12

28

*Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Petition, dated 1st August 1895.*

READ :—

Petition No. 2419—As. 159, dated 8th March 1895, presented by Krishnappa of Sindaghtata, Krishnarajpete Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, confirming, under para XXIX of the Potigi rules of 1874, the appointment on probation, of respondent Nanjanna, to the office of Shanbhog of Singapura Firka newly formed at the Potigi settlement by splitting up the old Mailanhalli Firka, of which the appellant was the Baravardar Shanbhog.

2. Proceedings received with letter No. 1478, dated 22nd June 1895, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

---

No. 1533—PET. F. 21-95, DATED, BANGALORE, 1ST AUGUST 1895.

ORDER THEREON.—No doubt the rule referred to by the Deputy Commissioner contemplates the appointment of an additional Shanbhog when a Shanbhog's charge is split up into more than one circle. But when the officiator Shanbhog has a son who can well take up the additional charge it is not right to pass him over, especially when the father has worked well in charge of all the villages for several years. In modification of the Deputy Commissioner's order it is hereby directed that the infant son of the appellant named Venkataramanaiya be registered for the additional circle of Singapura, and Nanjanna, counter petitioner, do act as his Gumasta during his minority.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
*Revenue Secretary.*

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, with records.

To Comm. of other districts.

| | | |

Vic

(11)

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:-

(1) Petition No 2419/A.S. 159, dated 8th March 1893; received by Krishnappa of Siddaghatta Krishnaraje Urs, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, confirming, under para XXIX of the Potagi Rules of 1874, the appointment on probation of the Respondent, Ranganna, to the office of Shanbhog of Singapura Firka newly formed at the Potagi Settlement by splitting up the old Madhanahalli Firka of which the Appellant was the Shanbhog.

(2) Proceedings, received with the Collr's letter No 1478, dated

C.R. 108-94-7

22nd June 1895; from the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore District.

— 11 —

Order thereon. No. 1533 21-8-95
164 F 21-95

No doubt the case
referred to by the Deputy
Commissioner contemplates
the appointment of an
additional Shanbhog, when
a Shanbhog's charge is split
up into more than one circle.
But when the officiating
Shanbhog has a son who
can well take up the
additional charge, it is
not right to pass him over,
especially when the father
has worked well in charge
of all the villages for several
years. In modification
of the Deputy-Commissioner's
order, it is hereby directed
that

26

of the Appellant named
that the Appellant Venkata-
Ramanaiya be replaced
for the additional circle
of Singapuram, and Ranjan
Counter Petitioner, do rel-
ain his grounds during
his minority.

Draft Ord, 1-8-95
Revenue Secretary.

Ordered
1-8-95

To
The Deputy Commr.
Nuzvid District with
Records.
1/8 So the Dy Commr of Nuz dist

Precy copy sent.
Dist 8/2/95.

No: 2419
A.S. 159.

(10)

29

L.R. 108-94-8.

61

1896

No. 3724

Name of Petitioner, Vedanayaga Tevar

Village, Ayyanarkalli

Taluk, Tirukkoyilur

District, Cuddalore

Date of Arz

Date of Receipt, 6.5.96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 2

ABSTRACT.

was framed in public interest primarily to
encourage navigation; that it
is apparent that the Legislature very
fully considered the subject & that
the appellant since 1880 at a great cost has
the exclusive right from the abstraction
of water in individuals banks; & that no right
right to have gone into the question, however, degree
any action was taken that the interests were injured
to the rule Nisi issued on the endorsement
herein enclosed that no orders can be
the order of the Court that a similar petition
to the Legislature be presented on the endorsement
herein enclosed, receive the appeal as it was lodged in the
prescribed time by a month & 20 days -

Prays that the Court be pleased to order the payment
of Rs 2 for the protection of the River
person who will account in writing to pay Rs 2 to the owner
& to act upon the opposition in future regarding the owners right
to the use of the water

The translation of the order appealed against is —

The appeal against the endorsement No 237 of 25.1.96
given by the Nile Div. Officer should be brought
to day i.e. a month & a 20 days after the
time prescribed for appeal - The appeal is therefore
rejected under Sec. 2.1.7 of the Land Act as
being barred by time -

Date 15.4.96.

A.M.

5.96.

Submitted with reference to the 2nd councillor's order in the abstract of petn 3724 dated 10-5-96. (Serial No 16)

7/6/97

Translate the deposition of Nanjanna (before the Amildar)

M 3/6/97

Translated the deposition of Nanjanna. C.R. 4/6/97

Submitted with translation

4/6

Govt order No 1533 Pet F 24-95 dated 8/8/95 said nothing about Nanjanna's liability to pay an allowance to the infant barawardar (Serial No 11) Krishnappa's (father of the infant barawardar) applying to Govt for maintenance being awarded for his son out of the potge & for Nanjanna's promising not to prejudice the interests of the minor, the Dy Comr was called upon to report (Serial No 14)

Nanjanna's deposition shows that he is not willing to pay any allowance to the minor but undertakes not to endanger the interests of the Minor

The Sub-Dy Commr reports that petr (Krishnappa) is well-to-do & does not think it expedient to call upon Nanjanna to pay any portion of the potge to the minor

Sub-Dy Commr

M 5/6/97

Rec'd 31/5

Petition 29.5.97

Order 29.5.97 Petitr

AR 31/5.

---

1897

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 25th May 1897.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1761
No.

Enclosure No. Petitions Nos 1546 + 3724 with 3 Sn. & Canarese papers.

Reporting on the petition of Krishnappa, Shanbhog of Mylana-halli, Krishnarajpet taluk.

207 (18)

1897

Gl. 8017
Pet 697
2 MAY 97

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
DEWAN'S OFFICE

L.R. 108-94-13.

38

No. 1761
Enclosure No. 2 file

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 25th May 1897

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your Official memorandum No. Rev 7-21-95 dated the 12th June 1896 forwarding for report the petition of Krishnappa, Shanbhog of Mylanahalli in the Krishnarajapet Taluk, praying that Ranjamah his minor son's gumasta for the Sugapur forka, may be required to pay rupees ten monthly for the minor's maintenance, I have the honor to state that Ranjamah is reported to be unwilling to pay any portion of the potigi viz Rs 60-4-0 to the minor barawardar, as it is barely sufficient to meet his own requirements, and that the petitioner is a man of means. A Copy of the Sub Division Officer's letter No. 1236 dated the 25th ultimo reporting more fully on the subject is herewith submitted.

The petition received with the memo under reply, together with that received with the subsequent memorandum No. Rev 7-21-95 dated 11th December 1896, and the vernacular correspondence on the subject are also herewith transmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Compd: Uley.

Dy Commissioner, Mysore

Pet
F. 21-95
Ans: 3

13-6-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 0/24

dated 10-5-96 received at the

Dewan's Office from Krishnappa

Shanbhag of Mylasandra in the

Krishnarajpet Tk, praying that

Vaijadevi who is appointed as guardian

of his minor son

guardian of the person of his minor son, may be required to give a

deposit, stating that he would so discharge

the duties as in any way not to

jeopardise the interests of the minor

is forwarded, together with its

enclosures, to the

Amildar; and to pay Rs 2/-

per mensem for the support of the

minor, is forwarded herewith

with its two enclosures to the

Deputy Commr of the District

with a request that he

report about

conduct and respectability

of the appd to have

maintenance to the

minor son.

The petition with its

enclosures should be returned

By Order,

for Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,

13 June 1895

11/6/96

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000

References

2b/11 3724 of 96

P.N. 21-95-96.

2nd 27/11/96

(16)

1896

No

Name of Petitioner Shankarappa Krishnappa Hegde

Village } Vajrahalli

Taluk } Krishnarajpet

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 14-11-96.

Date of Receipt 16-11-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT.

In the reference to the Govt. order No 3724 of 11-6-96 in which the previous petition of the petitioners who have been the same No. 21 of 24-3-96 were recommended that the ... from keeping the Govt. letter and since ... not clear, this petition is returned.

Petr. Shankarappa urges that during the settlement of his Bhoomi, his son was ... the Amanbhoge and during his minority, one Thimmanna

Requested Enquiry Report called for 8/12-6-96 Rept 7-1-97

Govt. 8/12/96

Subd. 7/12

Enquiry Report called for 5-2-97

Govt. Rept. 10-2-97

Govt. 94-11

was appd by Govt to look after the property
that the minor is in pets protection and the usual
part of prayer that he is to be adequately provided for.
that the minor takes 18 years to attain to the
age of majority, and that since the real man is to
discharge the duty & long as 16½ years, he says that the
Govt may grant him at least Rs 2 per mensem
to ~~be~~ decent his livelihood.

Pet further ~~says~~ urges that
the man appointed Guardian of the ~~pet~~ pet himself
(the barrister)
in order to obtain the right to the office for himself
created a lot of disturbance which put pets mother to many
losses and if the pets brother (the ~~man~~ man now
appd guardian of pets son in this case) be appd
to discharge the duty of the Shambhoji of the pleader
he will also subject the pets son to similar disturbances.

Begs that orders may be passed
by Govt so that the boy's claim to the office
should not be endangered and sufficient
amount shd be made to be given to the
pets son for his maintenance.

BL.
23/11

Pet 21-95 (375) (17)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 15266

dated 14 Nov 96 received at the

Dewan's Office from Venkatacha

Kambhanna Setti

Krishnarajapettalluk-

Mysore District praying for

orders to his petition alluded

in this office Memorandum

No. 3776 dated 11.6.96.

is forwarded, together with its one

enclosures, to the Deputy Commr

Mysore Dist, in continuation

of this office Memorandum No. 7-21-9

dated 12.6.96, with a requ

for early submission

of the record therein ca

for.

By Order,

Bangalore, 10th Dec 1896 } for Chief Secretary.

Draft

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000.

39.

No: 1236 Sub-division Office

Enc: 1 file of Cor: papers. Bench Rocks

Dated Bench Rocks 25/26 April 1897.

From

The Sub-division Officer

Bench Rocks

To

The Commissioner

Mysore District

Sir,

In adverting to your official memo no: 411 of the 15th June 1896 on a copy of Dewan's Office Petition Department no: 7 21-95 calling for a report in re-the petition of Krishnappa praying that Ranjappa who is appointed to act as guardian of his minor son for Singapura Firka may be required to give a deposition to the effect that he will transact the duties of his office without jeopardising the interests of the minor and to pay Rs 2/- per measem for the support of the minor, I have the honor to forward herewith the deposition of Ranjappa, Chanbhagne Gumasta of Singapura Firka, from which it will be seen that while Ranjappa

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಪರಿಹಾರ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ

46

25/1/196

Ranjana undertakes to transact the duties
of his office so as not in any way to prejudice the
interests of the minor Karanavaddar, he declines
to pay any portion of the potagi (Rs 60 1/4) attached
to the office as it is barely sufficient to meet his own
family wants; that the nature of the work is such
that it requires his undivided time and attention
and that as Krishnappa as Shanbhague of Mysa-
-nahalli Firka gets Rs 80/- as potagi and possesses
lands, besides he can support his minor son.

2. The Amildar reports the statement of Ran-
-jana that the work is heavy and the money remu-
-neration fixed for the post being small no portion
of it can be conveniently spared for the maintenance
of the minor Karanavaddar. The Amildar further
states that so far there is nothing against Ranjana's
character.

3. It does not appear to be expedient to call
upon Ranjana to pay a portion of the potagi
allowance for the maintenance of the minor Kara-
-navaddar whose father has admittedly sufficient
means of his own to support his son.

4. The petition and its enclosures and the

Amildars

41

Amildars report No. 1083 are herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

Signed K. P. Puttanna Chetty.

Sub-Division Officer

French Rocks.

"Copy

E. Thompson

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Compd:
W.M.

42

Encl: 2

RD
16/5

3724

Sm/ Smt
Adv.
14/5/96

The Sub-Court's Office endorsement above that an order if any has been passed. References if any, Shall be furnished
Adv.
14/5/96

48 stamps of eight annas affixed

MYSORE DISTRICT JAIL'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
Regd. No. 3724R
DATE 16/5/96

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಯನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ

ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರನ್ನು ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು

ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ

ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಬಿಡದೆ ಅವರನ್ನು

ಹೊರಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಬಾಗಿಲನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡದೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹಣವನ್ನು ದುರುಪಯೋಗಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹಣವನ್ನು

ದುರುಪಯೋಗಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ.

48

Mithai
18/11/96

DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
Regd. No. 1546/R
Date 18-11-96
1546

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಇಂದಿನ ಪ್ರಜಾವಾಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನ ಓದಿದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾಗಿವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತವ

ہے۔ اس کے بعد ہم نے اس کے ساتھ کیا کیا

ہے۔ اس کے بعد ہم نے اس کی تحقیق کی اور اس کے بارے میں جو کچھ معلوم ہوا وہ یہ ہے کہ

۱۔ یہ کتاب ۱۹۳۲ء میں شائع ہوئی تھی اور اس کے مصنف کا نام ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ ہے۔

۲۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۵۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۶۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۷۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۸۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۹۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۰۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۱۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۲۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۳۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۴۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۵۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۶۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۷۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۸۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۱۹۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۰۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۱۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۲۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۳۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۴۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۵۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۶۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۷۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۸۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۲۹۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۰۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۱۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۲۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۳۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۴۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۵۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۶۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۷۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۸۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۳۹۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۰۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۱۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۲۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۳۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۴۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۵۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۶۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۷۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۸۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۴۹۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔

۵۰۔ اس کتاب کے بارے میں ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔ کا کہنا ہے کہ ۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔